# FIELD ACTIVITY REPORT



# TRAINING REPORT FOR THE MONTH OF OCTOBER 2017

**PROGRAMMME:** 

**FUNDER: EUROPEAN UNION...** 

PARTNER (s)......DANISH REFUGEE COUNCIL

#### Facilitator(s)

1) MR. ALBERT OGWIRI

2) MR. ASAU SUNDAY

3) MS. PACUTHO JELIS TUAPE

# **Programme overview**

Program Overview	This is ECHO project funded by European Union and is being implemented by Danish Refugee Council in Rhino Camp, Arua-West Nile Uganda. The project aims to build the Livelihoods Capacities of community groups main Refugee and Host communities.					
Project Name	ЕСНО					
Activity (s) conducted	Community group formation and training on Group Dynamics					
Project Duration						
Training Venue	e OFUA 1,2 3,5, 6 and Tika					
Target Group (s)	Refugees and Host communities (Women, Men and Youth)					
Date	3 <sup>rd</sup> -7th, 2017					
Attendance	180					
Reported by	MR. ALB OGWIRI	ERT	MR. SUNDA	ASAU Y	MS. PACUTHO JELIS	
Position	Facilitators			Date		Sign

Verified by			
		Date	Sign
<b>Submitted to</b>	JULIET		
		Date	Sign
Date	16 <sup>th</sup> , Oct 2017		

#### Introduction

This is ECHO project funded by European Union and is being implemented by Danish Refugee Council in Rhino Camp, Arua-West Nile Uganda. The project aims to build the Livelihoods Capacities of community groups main Refugee and Host communities. The training was covered in Zones of Ofua (1, 2, 3, 5, and 6) and Tika (1). The fundamental objective of this training was to build the capacity of these groups generally on group dynamics (basics of a group, group development, group leadership, group governance-constitution) all towards building an effective group i.e. functional, progressive & self-sustaining group.

#### Objectives of the training

- To gain knowledge on in group formation.
- To help the groups come up and build effective leadership.
- To support the groups to come up with methods of regulating group works and conducts by formatting a group constitution

#### **Training Methodology (s)**

- We undertook Participatory lectures and Brainstorming sessions to deliver training content, stimulate interest, build active participation, share experiences, demonstrate what they have learnt, create maximum training impact.
- Sessions of group discussion to generate participant's deeper understanding, reflections & self-analysis, offered an opportunity for deeper sharing of ideas, experiences on issues surrounding peace & conflict in the settlement.
- Exercises generated the level of understanding of the content delivered.
- Sharing of personal experiences presented an opportunity for the nationals to learn land related practices from South Sudan and vice Versa.

- Question and Answer sessions engaged the participants in active debate, probed understanding and was a useful tool of needs assessment throughout each stage of the training.
- Role plays kept the participants active, focused and concentrated

S/N	Category	Number per Cluster	SEX		Total
			M	F	
1	Refugees 1,2	Ofua 2 (Nationals 18, Refugee s, 42)	29	31	60
	3,5, and Tika				
	Nationals	Both National and Refugees 29 male			
		and 21 Female			
2		Offua 5 (Nationals 8, Refugees 52)	38	12	60
		Both National and Refugees 38 male			
		and 12 Female			
3		Tika 40			40
4		Ofua 1			60
5		Ofua 3			60
6		Ofua 5			60
Total					340

# **Topics discussed during the proceedings**

- 1) Group basics
- 2) Stages of group development
- 3) Group leadership
- 4) Group constitution
- 5) Record keeping

# Discussions, presentation, out puts and emerging issues

- All targeted participants attended and participated
- All topics on group dynamics covered.
- Participant's completion rate of the training was at 100%.
- Working groups were reorganized into 4 groups of 15 people.
- Participants were given action points on electing their group leaders

- Each group was tasked to discuss and set a Goal.
- 340 participants trained.
- Participants were given task to conduct regular meetings.

# **Challenges Encountered in the training**

- 1. In Ofua 5, six groups emerged into 4 were not satisfied with the new change.
- 2. Some Nationals accused refugees for not always inviting them for some group meetings
- 3. 30:70 percent ratio of the group for National and Non Nationals seems to be favouring Refugees than the locals according to some complains from group members.
- 4. Women Participation is low compared to men's participation.
- 5. Transport challenge due to bad road causing delays from both Arua and Rhino Camps.
- 6. Bad weather affected roads and trainings due to poor shelter at the training venues.

#### SUMMARY OF PARTICIPANTS ACTION PLAN

S/N	LOCATION	ACTIVITY	TIME FRAME	RESPONSIBLE PERSONS
01	Ofua 1,2,3,6 and TIKA 1	Developing group Constitution	2 weeks	Group leaders
02	Ofua 1,2,3,6 and TIKA 1	Identifying Group goals	2 weeks	Group leaders
03	Ofua 1,2,3,6 and TIKA 1	Election of Group Leaders	2 weeks	Group leaders
04	Ofua 1,2,3,6 and TIKA 1	Carrying out group routine Meetings.	2 weeks	Group leaders

#### Recommendations

- More of such and groups be formed and trained
- Beneficiary selection criteria needs revisions to balance interests of refugees & nationals
- The DRC field team needs close mentorship of the groups to sustain impact.
- Amble time for information will improve preparation.

# **Conclusion:**

In conclusion, the trainings were successful and delivered the desired impact.